

Book Review: Instructional Scaffolding in STEM Education – Strategies and Efficacy Evidence

Mohamad Syahrul Nizam Ibrahim^a, Avelinah Julius^{a*}

^a*Institute for Tropical Biology and Conservation, Universiti Malaysia Sabah, 88400 Kota Kinabalu, Sabah, Malaysia*

**avelinah.julius@ums.edu.my*

Article history

Received

23 October 2024

Received in revised form

3 December 2024

Accepted

6 December 2024

Published online

30 December 2024

Abstract

Brian R. Belland's *Instructional Scaffolding in STEM Education: Strategies and Efficacy Evidence* provides an in-depth analysis of instructional scaffolding's role in enhancing student learning within STEM disciplines. The book focuses on the efficacy of computer-based scaffolding, exploring its potential to support students engaged in problem-centered instructional approaches such as project-based and inquiry-based learning. Belland meticulously reviews the theoretical foundations of scaffolding and presents findings from a meta-analysis of 144 studies, identifying scaffolding strategies that most effectively promote higher-order thinking, problem-solving, and deep content knowledge. The book also addresses the customization of scaffolding, stressing the importance of adapting support to meet individual learner needs. Key themes include the integration of conceptual, strategic, and motivational scaffolding, as well as their impact on cognitive outcomes. This review highlights the book's relevance to educators, researchers, and curriculum developers, offering practical insights for integrating scaffolding into STEM education to foster more engaged and capable learners.

Keywords: higher-order thinking, problem-centered learning, meta-analysis, cognitive outcomes, educational strategies.

Belland, B. R. (2017). *Instructional scaffolding in STEM education: Strategies and efficacy evidence*. Springer International Publishing.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-02565-0>

Introduction

Brian R. Belland's *Instructional Scaffolding in STEM Education: Strategies and Efficacy Evidence* is an insightful and comprehensive examination of the role of scaffolding in supporting learning within STEM disciplines. This book delves deeply into the theory and application of instructional scaffolding, focusing specifically on computer-based scaffolding and its effectiveness in fostering cognitive outcomes in students engaged with problem-centred learning approaches. Through a synthesis of empirical research, Belland highlights the strategies that are most effective in helping students achieve higher-order thinking and deep content knowledge, addressing a significant gap in STEM education.

The author, Brian R. Belland, is a professor at Utah State University, specializing in instructional scaffolding. His expertise is evident as he articulates the conceptual evolution of scaffolding from its origins in one-on-one interactions to its current applications in computer-supported learning environments. The book is particularly valuable for researchers and educators looking to integrate scaffolding strategies

into their curricula, as it combines theoretical underpinnings with practical recommendations based on rigorous meta-analyses.

Outline of the book

The book is structured systematically, beginning with Chapter 1: Introduction, which explores the rationale for writing about computer-based scaffolding in STEM education. It provides an overview of the book's focus, including problem-centered instructional approaches, the role of scaffolding, and its central premises. This chapter concludes with a description of the book's structure. Chapter 2: Instructional Scaffolding: Foundations and Evolving Definition delves into the historical and theoretical bases of scaffolding, including its elements, forms, and how the metaphor translates into computer tools. It also addresses theoretical models such as Activity Theory, ACT-R, and Knowledge Integration.

Chapter 3: Context of Use of Computer-Based Scaffolding examines its applications across grade levels, STEM disciplines, and student demographics, supported by meta-analysis results. Various instructional models, including problem-based, inquiry-based, and design-based learning, are discussed. Chapter 4: Intended Learning Outcomes and Assessment focuses on scaffolding's impact on higher-order thinking skills, deep content learning, and alignment with STEM education goals. Chapter 5:

Computer-Based Scaffolding Strategy presents scaffolding functions like conceptual, metacognitive, and motivational support, while addressing customization and context specificity, with meta-analysis results provided throughout. Finally, Chapter 6: Conclusion discusses overarching implications, responses to debates in scaffolding literature, and future research directions, synthesizing the insights gained from the preceding chapters.

Summary of key themes

Belland's book covers a wide range of topics related to scaffolding, beginning with its definition and historical roots in Chapter 2, where he traces the evolution of the concept from its origins in Vygotsky's zone of proximal development and its cultural-historical activity theory. One of the central premises of the book is that scaffolding—whether computer-based, peer, or one-to-one—extends learners' abilities to engage with authentic, ill-structured problems, a key feature of problem-centered instructional approaches (Jaipal-Jamani, 2023).

The author focuses heavily on computer-based scaffolding (CBS), which he presents as an essential tool for K-12 STEM education due to the high student-to-teacher ratios that often make one-on-one scaffolding impractical. CBS is presented as a solution to bridge gaps, offering dynamic, adaptable, and ongoing support to students as they engage with complex problems in real-world contexts (Kim et al., 2019).

Pedagogical approaches to scaffolding

Belland is particularly interested in the interplay between problem-centered instructional models such as project-based learning (PBL) and the support mechanisms that can enhance student learning. He notes that while problem-centered approaches are widely praised for their ability to foster deep content understanding and long-term retention of knowledge, their success hinges on effective scaffolding. Without appropriate guidance, students may struggle with the complex, ill-structured problems typical of these models. As a solution, Belland proposes scaffolding strategies that are customized to the learner's needs and evolve over time, such as through the fading or adding of support as learners gain competence.

The book also delves into different scaffolding strategies tailored to various stages of learning and problem-solving processes. For example, Chapter 5 discusses strategic, conceptual, and metacognitive scaffolding, emphasizing the need to address not only content knowledge but also the skills required for problem-solving and critical thinking. By offering such multi-faceted support, scaffolding can better prepare students to navigate the interdisciplinary challenges of STEM fields.

Theoretical foundations

One of the strengths of Belland's work is the integration of diverse theoretical perspectives. He draws on activity theory, ACT-R (Adaptive Character of Thought-Rational), and knowledge integration models to provide a robust conceptual foundation for scaffolding in STEM education. This multi-theoretical approach enables Belland to argue for the importance of scaffolding as a flexible tool, one that can adapt to different learning contexts and objectives (Korhonen et al., 2019).

For example, activity theory emphasizes the role of cultural and historical context in shaping learning, making it particularly relevant for scaffolding that is designed to support collaborative, socially situated learning experiences (Schmidt, 2022). In contrast, the ACT-R model focuses on cognitive processes and suggests that scaffolding can help learners develop automated problem-solving skills through repeated practice and feedback. By synthesizing these perspectives, Belland provides a nuanced understanding of how scaffolding can operate across different educational settings.

Assessment and learning outcomes

Chapter 4 of the book addresses the critical issue of assessing the effectiveness of scaffolding interventions. Belland notes that while scaffolding is often designed to enhance higher-order thinking and problem-solving skills, it is essential to have reliable assessment tools that can measure these outcomes. He argues for assessments that go beyond traditional content knowledge tests, instead focusing on students' ability to engage in ill-structured problem-solving and apply what they have learned to new, complex situations.

One interesting finding from the meta-analysis presented in the book is that scaffolding appears to be more effective in certain STEM disciplines than others. This variability highlights the need for context-specific scaffolding designs that take into account the unique challenges and learning objectives of each discipline. Furthermore, Belland advocates for continued research into how scaffolding can be optimized to support different types of learners, including those from underrepresented or marginalized backgrounds.

Implications for STEM Education

Belland's exploration of scaffolding has significant implications for STEM educators. He provides practical guidance on how to implement scaffolding in the classroom, suggesting that teachers use a combination of peer, teacher, and computer-based scaffolding to provide the most comprehensive support. He also emphasizes the importance of scaffolding that is responsive to the learner's evolving needs, with support gradually withdrawn as students become more proficient.

Moreover, Belland's findings suggest that scaffolding is most effective when aligned with the goals of STEM education as outlined in standards like the Next Generation Science Standards (NGSS). These goals include not only content mastery but also the development of critical thinking, collaboration, and problem-solving skills, all of which can be enhanced through well-designed scaffolding.

Highlighted Chapter

Chapter 5 is captivating because it focuses on the diverse forms of scaffolding—strategic, conceptual, and metacognitive—and their distinct yet interconnected roles in helping students navigate complex STEM problems. This chapter is particularly interesting as it sheds light on how scaffolding can move beyond basic content support and delve into the processes that students use to approach and solve problems.

Strategic scaffolding refers to the guidance offered to help students plan and execute problem-solving strategies (Vo et al., 2022). For instance, in a STEM context, strategic scaffolding might involve breaking down a complex engineering problem into smaller, manageable steps and providing a roadmap for students to follow. What is intriguing is how Belland connects this to the development of independent problem-solving skills—by gradually removing strategic support, educators can help students build the confidence and competence to approach similar problems on their own.

Conceptual scaffolding, meanwhile, is aimed at helping students understand the fundamental principles or frameworks behind a problem. In STEM disciplines, this type of scaffolding is critical because students often struggle with applying theoretical concepts to real-world problems. By offering conceptual support, educators can help students make these connections, which ultimately leads to a deeper understanding of the material.

Metacognitive Scaffolding: A Highlight

What makes this chapter particularly compelling is its discussion of metacognitive scaffolding. This form of scaffolding encourages students to reflect on their own thinking processes, allowing them to become more self-aware learners. In the context of STEM education, where students often grapple with abstract concepts and complex problem-solving tasks, metacognitive scaffolding can be a game-changer. Belland argues that by fostering metacognitive awareness, educators can help students not only solve the problems at hand but also develop the ability to transfer these skills to new and unfamiliar situations.

This chapter aligns closely with recent research that underscores the importance of metacognition in learning. According to Santangelo et al. (2021), metacognitive skills—such as the ability to plan,

monitor, and evaluate one's own learning—are essential for success in STEM fields. Belland's exploration of how scaffolding can support these skills is particularly relevant for educators who are looking to prepare students for the increasingly interdisciplinary and problem-based nature of STEM careers.

What makes Chapter 5 resonate so deeply is its applicability to real-world teaching and learning scenarios. As a student or educator in STEM, it becomes evident that solving problems is not just about having the right answers—it's about developing the right thinking processes. The way Belland breaks down the scaffolding types makes this chapter not only informative but also practically useful for anyone involved in education. By emphasizing the importance of reflection and self-regulation in learning, this chapter brings to the fore the crucial role that metacognitive scaffolding plays in empowering students to become lifelong learners.

Conclusion

In *Instructional Scaffolding in STEM Education*, Brian R. Belland provides a critical framework for understanding scaffolding's role in enhancing learning outcomes in problem-centered instructional environments. While the book effectively integrates theoretical perspectives and highlights the potential of computer-based scaffolding (CBS) as a scalable solution, it leaves some areas underexplored. For instance, although the discussion on metacognitive scaffolding is compelling, the book could provide more practical, real-world examples or case studies to bridge the gap between theory and application. Educators and curriculum developers might find it challenging to translate the insights into actionable strategies without these concrete examples.

Additionally, while the meta-analysis findings are informative, they lack a deeper comparative discussion across different grade levels, STEM disciplines, and student demographics, which would provide a more nuanced understanding of scaffolding's impact in diverse contexts. Another limitation is the insufficient focus on emerging technologies like artificial intelligence, virtual reality, and gamification, which could significantly enhance scaffolding's design and implementation. These omissions, though not diminishing the book's overall value, suggest areas where future editions could improve to better address the evolving needs of STEM education. By including more practical applications, comparative analyses, and discussions on cutting-edge technologies, Belland's work could achieve even greater relevance and impact.

Acknowledgement

The authors extend gratitude to the Centre for Engineering Education, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia and Institute for Tropical Biology and Conservation,

Universiti Malaysia Sabah for the support and resources that facilitated the completion of this book review. Appreciation is also given to colleagues and peers for their insightful discussions and feedback, which helped shape the perspectives presented in this work.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

Jaipal-Jamani, K. (2023). Preservice teachers' science learning and self-efficacy to teach with robotics-based activities: Investigating a scaffolded and a self-guided approach. *Frontiers in Education, 8*, 979709.

- Kim, N. J., Belland, B. R., & Axelrod, D. (2019). Scaffolding for optimal challenge in K-12 problem-based learning. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem-Based Learning, 13*(1), 3.
- Korhonen, A.-M., Ruhalahti, S., & Veermans, M. (2019). The online learning process and scaffolding in student teachers' personal learning environments. *Education and Information Technologies, 24*, 755-779.
- Santangelo, J., Cadieux, M., & Zapata, S. (2021). Developing student metacognitive skills using active learning with embedded metacognition instruction. *Journal of STEM Education: Innovations and Research, 22*(2).
- Schmidt, M. (2022). Activity theory as a lens for developing and applying personas and scenarios in learning experience design. *Journal of Applied Instructional Design*.
- Vo, K., Sarkar, M., White, P. J., & Yuriev, E. (2022). Problem solving in chemistry supported by metacognitive scaffolding: teaching associates' perspectives and practices. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice, 23*(2), 436-451.